

building on campus is suffering a shortage of help, not just the dormitories.

Again, you imply some devious plot to withhold the information of my resignation (cf. above for the rebuttle of this) in order to prevent Tony's assumption of my former duties. Maybe this is "apparent" to you, but what justification do you have for that statement? How is it "even more apparent" that somebody didn't want him to have that job? I truly wonder what grounds you have for saying that, and challenge you to prove this.

As for your accusation of lying, which you seem to toss about rather casually and repeatedly, could it be possible that no one is lying, but that a lot of people are angry, angry for various reasons?

With regard to all "the other Christians on the campus," viz., those named on p. 13, you do them a true disservice. They are all good people trying to do a good job, a job which is made much more difficult because of intemperate attacks such as those mounted in these articles. No man enjoys having to dismiss an employee, despite your dark suspicions to the contrary. Nor is any one of these men guilty of having played power games. There does come a time for that last straw in the case of every employee who is difficult to manage, and the events of Jan. 18 and 19 proved to be that straw in the case of Tony, unfortunately.

You are of course entitled to your opinions, and are free to crusade for any person or cause you wish. But you do have a duty to yourselves and your readers to make a clear distinction between fact and opinion, and not substitute one for the other indiscriminately.

One last word: it's unfortunate for Tony that your new-found sympathy for him could not have surfaced while he was working at the University, because it would have made his days far more pleasant and his work considerably easier.

Sincerely yours,  
William J. Cavey, S.J.

★ OUR VIEWPOINT ★

**The Editorial Board of the Aquinas at this point would like to reiterate our position on Tony Noto. We contend he was set up to be fired. At no point did we ever expect the Administration or any specific individual involved to agree with our statements. Such a move would obviously be out of context with the events that occurred. However, we did agree to print the above statements in full, unedited as we do with all signed letters to the editors. We thank you for your comments.**

★ DYNAMISM ★

Dear Sirs:

I am writing because it is hard not to feel the humanness and dynamism of the February issue of the **Aquinas**.

In fact, I am happy to state that you positively **do not** qualify for the Pontius

Pilate Memorial Award — an award presented at Easter for the most "neutral" anything on campus . . . at least not this issue!

The emotion of this issue may not qualify you for journalism awards . . . yet, I can't help but feel good about you, and your magazine, for not ignoring the hurt of your fellow man.

T. N. Beckish  
Counseling Center

★ SLAVE DAY ★

Dear Sirs:

According to the last Student Forum which appeared in the **Aquinas**, we female students should rise up in righteous indignation at the mere mention of a "Slave Day" or any other such "male chauvinist" plots. Cut me a break. A big one. As far as I can see, there is no campus wide conspiracy aimed at subjugating the University females. On the contrary, people have for the most part, gone out of their way to make the females feel part of the University. Just look at the way the Administration renovated Fitch Hall. They didn't have to do as much as they did, none of the other dorms were renovated at all.

But more than the material things the University has done for us is the attitude of the students in general. Most people judge you on your merits, not your sex. Working with the athletic department, as a manager and scorekeeper, this was really brought home to me; I was admittedly a little nervous at first, being "female", I didn't know how people would react to me. Everyone, however, was beautiful, not one problem. This has been true of my classes too. So if some feel that all the females should get upset over a trivial thing like a "Slave Day", I'm sorry, but I really can't share their feelings.

Donna Palmisano '76

★ SICKENED ★

Dear Sirs:

In short, I am sickened by the Student Government articles found in the **Aquinas**. In the February 7th issue we were hit by the classic line "With our term of office coming to a close — blah-blah-blah —". I didn't even know your term had started yet. This so called "vehicle of communication" has merely pointed out how little the government has done and how misdirected it's efforts have been. Information found in the articles should be labeled "announcements", not communication and I hope this trend does not continue with the arrival of a new government.

The government has run several entertaining socials, but the issues that really need attention have been left untouched for yet another year. Social functions should be contained in a branch of the government and not be it's sole occupation. Why are we not asked for input concerning such matters as the tuition raise soon to be announced or the loca-

tion of the coeds in the future, or how many times the maids clean our rooms? We live here, it is our money that keeps this place going, we are the U., let us use this financial lever to at least have our say in what happens, I don't want to be informed that something will take effect in the fall of '73. I want the students represented by the government to force the Administration to give us a say in policy formulation. Our government is the only respected group of students that can approach the Administration and give us a voice on campus.

When Mr. Morton hands out an arbitrary and unjust punishment, the government should demand that due process be given through the Student Court or change the system. It appears that the people need protection from the "Scranton Monarchy" and student government should fulfill this position.

Get mad people, it's spring time.

Tom Leahy '75

★ WHAT ★

Dear Sirs:

Go placidly amid the noise and waste and remember what comfort there may be in being a student thereof. Avoid dull and boring classes unless you are in need of sleep. Rotate your tires. Speak glowingly of those greater than yourself and heed well their advice even though they be teachers; know what to kiss and when. ( ) Consider that two wrongs never make a right but that three do. Whenever possible put assignments on hold. Be comforted that in the face of all aridity and disillusionment and despite the changing fortunes of time, there is always a big fortune in campus maintenance. Remember Tony Noto. Strive at all times to criticize, plagiarize, borrow, and copy. Know yourself; if you need help, call your RA. Exercise caution in your daily affairs, especially with those students smarter than you. That senior premed, for instance. Be assured that a walk through the rooms of most students would scarcely be noticed. Fall not in love therefore; it will stick to your face. Gracefully surrender the things of youth: the dollar, clean air, the caf., and The Wood; and sort the sands of time from your lunch. Hire the ignorant. For a good time, call 344-9136; ask for Ronald. Take heart amid the ever deepening gloom that Prince is finally getting enough cheese; and reflect that whatever misfortune may be your lot, it could only be worse in Scranton. You are a fluke of Da U; you have no right to be here, and whether you can hear it or not, the administration is laughing behind your back. Therefore make peace with your dean whatever you consider him to be: Hairy Thunderer or Incompetent Muffin. With all its hopes, dreams, promises, dorm renewal, B.A.'s, and B.S.'s, Da U continues to deteriorate. GIVE UP!!!

The Committee of 12

THE AQUINAS